

High School Mock Trial 2026
State of Buckeye v. Morgan Remy
Errata Sheet

Please note:

The errata sheet serves to clarify or correct errors in the Mock Trial case and/or rules, and does not address team strategy, coaching, or judging protocol. If a question received does not meet the criteria for errata (e.g., pertains to an evidence question), an email response will be sent to the individual advisor.

Errata 10/14/2025.

1. **There was an error in the headings of the case law section with several cases being mislabeled in the page header.**

This has been corrected.

2. **There were two grammatical errors in the Judge's Opinion.**

Page 59: Defendant requests that the Court **suppress** the genealogically derived data...

Page 63: The parties do agree on one important foundational **aspect** of the Motion;

3. **There was an error in the lettered list of Rule 611 of the Simplified Rules of Evidence resulting in duplicated letters.**

Rule 611 has been updated with a correct alphabetical list on page 38.

4. **Det. Ronan Saleh claims that tech's collected Remy's DNA and it was a 100% match to the DNA found under the victim's fingernails. Since the match is a statistical probability, we thought it was impossible for it to be 100%. Page 87, Lines 119-120**

No elaboration needed.

5. **How old was Moyo at the time they agreed to GeneHeritage's terms of use?**

They were 18 at the time.

6. **In Frankie Moyo's statement, they describe the extra credit assignment as a three-page paper (page 76, line 35) while Val Barak describes the assignment as a one-page reflection (page 101, line 25)**

No elaboration needed. Inconsistencies of this nature are not uncommon in real world scenarios.